

## Major Oil Companies' Ongoing Struggle to Pay Shareholders Out of Cash Flows from Operations Accelerates in Dismal Second Quarter

Supermajors Spent \$16.9 Billion More on Dividends, Buybacks Than Generated From Operations

The second quarter financial results for the five global oil supermajors— ExxonMobil, Royal Dutch Shell (Shell), BP, Chevron and Total—showcased an industry in distress. Revenues, earnings, and operating cash flows plunged across the board, as low prices and weak demand cut into sales of oil, gas, petrochemicals and refined products around the globe. Expectations for continued low prices forced Shell to write down the value of its assets by \$16.8 billion,<sup>1</sup> while BP, Total and Chevron took impairments of \$11.7 billion, \$8.1 billion and \$4.8 billion, respectively.<sup>2</sup>

Q2 2020 saw the onset of the global pandemic (COVID-19) and the playing out of a price war between Saudi Arabia and Russia. The financial impact from the pandemic, which severely curtailed demand, exacerbated existing oversupply conditions, and the price war pushed prices down to unprecedented levels. The benchmark Brent oil price hit \$29 per barrel (bbl), and ExxonMobil posted realized prices averaging \$21/bbl.

Collectively, the five companies slashed capital spending by almost 30 percent compared with the same quarter in 2019. But even with these cuts, free cash flow (defined as operating cash flows minus capital spending) plummeted, with ExxonMobil, Chevron, and Shell reporting negative free cash flows for the quarter. Shell responded to the cash crunch by trimming its dividend. Nonetheless, these companies accelerated their decade-long practice<sup>3</sup> of paying shareholders more than they earned from their core business operations, distributing \$16.9 billion more in dividends and share buybacks during the quarter than they generated in free cash flow. (See Table 1.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Impairments reported on a post-tax basis. Shell. Q2 2020 Financial Results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total. Financial Results First Half 2020. BP. Q2 2020 Supplementary Information. Chevron. Chevron Announces Second Quarter 2020 Results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> IEEFA. Beyond Their Means: Oil Majors Pay More to Shareholders than Earn by Selling Oil and Gas. April 2020

# Table 1: Aggregate Free Cash Flow of Five Oil and Gas Supermajors: FreeCash Flow, Net Shareholder Distributions and Cash Deficits, Q1 and Q22020 (million \$USD)

IEEFA Calculations	Q1 2020	Q2 2020
Free cash flow	8,595	(5,513)
Net distributions to shareholders	18,519	11,392
Surplus/(Deficit)	(9,924)	(16,905)

Source: IEEFA, based on company financial reports.

Since 2010, these companies have generated a total of \$343 billion in free cash flows from operations, which fell far short of the \$586 billion they've spent rewarding their shareholders. The companies plugged the \$243 billion shortfall primarily through asset sales, cash drawdowns, and borrowing money from long-term debt markets. (See Table 2.)

# Table 2: Five Oil and Gas Supermajors: Free Cash Flow, Shareholder Distributions and Cash Deficits, 2010-Q2 2020 (million \$USD)

IEEFA Calculations	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020
Free cash flow	35,095	55,883	43,878	4,072	31,096	(7,216)	(8,596)	47,324	77,129	60,987	8,595	(5,513)
Net distributions to shareholders	45,017	58,875	62,748	69,234	67,217	42,979	37,815	40,977	60,064	71,156	18,519	11,392
Surplus/(Deficit)	(9,923)	(2,993)	(18,870)	(65,162)	(36,121)	(50,195)	(46,411)	6,347	17,065	(10,169)	(9,924)	(16,905)
Surplus/(Deficit) as a share of total	-22%	-5%	-30%	-94%	-54%	-117%	-123%	15%	28%	-14%	-54%	-148%
distributions to shareholders												
Additional cash, not from operations												
Y-o-y long-term borrowings (repayment)	15,125	795	1,682	19,579	25,324	31,375	36,826	(14,904)	(15,288)	6,813	4,491	49,919
Y-o-y cash drawdown (build)	(19,360)	(317)	(15,705)	15,810	(20,647)	(2,185)	18,281	(9,217)	(2,427)	12,846	(4,904)	(30,192)
Proceeds from asset sales	31,571	27,752	31,348	32,019	30,869	21,047	15,750	26,543	19,054	16,931	3,487	3,066
Cash from reserves, asset sales, and	27,336	28,230	17,325	67,408	35,546	50,237	70,857	2,422	1,339	36,036	3,074	22,793
borrowing				,	,	,	,	_,	-,	,	-,	
Dividends	(33,136)	(33,260)	(36,280)	(38,116)	(42,098)	(38,956)	(37,434)	(40,806)	(49,587)	(52,396)	(13,588)	(11,553)
Net Share buybacks (issuance)	(11,881)	(25,615)	(26,468)	(31,118)	(25,119)	(4,023)	(381)	(171)	(10,477)	(18,760)	(4,931)	161
Long-term debt excluding lease	110,405	111,200	112,882	132,461	157,785	189,160	225,986	211,082	195,794	202,607	213,361	263,280
obligations	110,100	111,200	111,001	101,101	107,700	105,100	220,500	211,002	155,754	202,007	210,001	200,200
Proceeds from asset sales	31,571	27,752	31,348	32,019	30,869	21,047	15,750	26,543	<i>19,054</i>	16,931	3,487	3,066
Free Cash Flow												
Exxon-Mobil	21,542	24,370	21,899	11,245	12,164	3.854	5,919	14,664	16,440	5,355	329	(4,417)
Chevron	11,742	14,595	7,874	(2,983)	(3,932)	(10,048)	(5,419)	6,934	16,826	13,198	1,589	(2,012)
Shell	410	10,470	13,564	465	13,368	3,679	(1,501)	14,805	30,074	19,207	10,588	(873)
BP	(4,805)	4,240	(2,743)	(3,420)	10,208	485	(6,010)	2,369	6,166	10,352	(2,846)	719
Total SA	6,206	2,208	3,284	(1,235)	(712)	(5,186)	(1,585)	8,552	7,623	12,875	(1,065)	1,070
Sum, 5 supermajors	35,095	55,883	43,878	4,072	31,096	(7,216)	(8,596)	47,324	77,129	60,987	8,595	(5,513)
Net distributions to shareholders												
Exxon-Mobil	20,548	30,151	30,967	26,823	24,721	16,124	13,424	13,748	14,424	15,246	4,024	3,715
Chevron	5,975	9,329	10,986	11,968	12,340	7,781	7,382	7,015	9,106	11,894	3,975	2,371
Shell	9,397	8,912	8,916	12,763	12,540	9,818	9,837	11,594	20,737	26,560	5,151	1,631
BP	2,458	3,998	5,172	10,799	10,439	6,659	4,611	6,496	7,054	8,457	2,878	2,119
Total SA	6,639	6,485	6,707	6,881	7,177	2,597	2,561	2,124	8,743	8,999	2,491	1,556
Sum, 5 supermajors	45,017	58,875	62,748	69,234	67,217	42,979	37,815	40,977	60,064	71,156	18,519	11,392
Surplus/(Deficit)												
Exxon-Mobil	994	(5,781)	(9,068)	(15,578)	(12,557)	(12,270)	(7,505)	916	2,016	(9,891)	(3,695)	(8,132)
Chevron	5,767	5,266	(3,112)	(14,951)	(12,337)	(17,829)	(12,801)	(81)	7,720	1,304	(2,386)	(4,383)
Shell	(8,987)	1,558	4,648	(14,001)	828	(6,139)	(12,801)	3,211	9,337	(7,353)	5,437	(2,504)
BP	(7,263)	242	(7,915)	(12,238)	(231)	(6,174)	(10,621)	(4,127)	(888)	1,895	(5,724)	(1,400)
Total	(434)	(4,278)	(3,423)	(8,116)	(7,889)	(7,783)	(4,146)	6,428	(1,120)	3,876	(3,556)	(486)
1.5.61	(	(-,2,0)	(3,423)	(0,110)	(1,000)	(1)103]	(-,1-0)	0,420	(1,120)	3,070	(3,330)	(16,905)

Source: IEEFA, based on company financial reports.

### **Financial Highlights From Q2 2020**

- **Plummeting revenues.** Revenues among the five oil majors declined from \$256 billion in the first quarter to \$138 billion in the second quarter, a 46 percent drop. Year over year, revenues were down 57 percent from the second quarter of 2019. Shell's revenue drop was the sharpest, at 64 percent; Total's revenue decline was the least, at 50 percent.
- **Negative Free Cash Flows (FCF).** FCF turned sharply negative this quarter, at -\$5.5 billion for the five companies. ExxonMobil and Chevron's negative free cash flows, at -\$4.4 billion and -\$2.0 billion respectively, were the

biggest contributors to the group's negative cash flow. This negative cash flow came despite a 29 percent year-over-year decline in capital expenditures among the five, from \$21.6 billion in Q2 2019 to \$15.4 billion last quarter.

- **Diminished shareholder dividends and buybacks.** The five companies cut their aggregate shareholder distributions from \$18.4 billion a year ago to \$11.4 billion in Q2 2020. But free cash flows fell even faster, with the companies collectively paying \$16.9 billion more to their investors than they generated from their core operations, compared with \$1.2 billion in the second quarter of 2019.
- **Massive increases in long-term debt.** With shareholder payouts exceeding free cash flows, the oil majors relied on other sources of cash—primarily by borrowing money and selling assets—to sustain dividends and share buybacks. The companies' aggregate long-term debt rose to \$290 billion in 2020 Q2, up sharply from \$240 billion in the first quarter, suggesting that the companies are increasingly turning to debt markets to maintain their dividends.
- **Modest asset sales**. Proceeds from asset sales, the other major source of funding to plug the shortfall between free cash flow and shareholder distributions, were \$3.1 billion, down from \$3.5 billion in the first quarter. These companies have likely found it difficult to find ready buyers.

# **Oil Majors Chart Divergent Paths to Confront Their Declining Cash Flows**

The five oil and gas companies are charting widely different courses in response to the rapid shifts in global oil and gas markets over the past few years. The second quarter's results showcase some of these differing approaches used to address declining cash flows.

ExxonMobil's "deficit," or shortfall between its free cash flow and its shareholder distributions, was the highest of the five companies in the second quarter. During the quarter, ExxonMobil's free cash flow was negative \$4.4 billion, and it increased its long-term debt by nearly \$16 billion. The company paid out \$8.1 billion more to shareholders than it generated from its core business, accounting for almost half the group's aggregate shortfall.

BP and Total, by contrast, generated positive quarterly free cash flows, of \$719 million and \$1.1 billion, respectively. While their payments to shareholders exceeded their free cash flows, the funding gap was far less than ExxonMobil's.

Chevron's second quarter results were nearly as dismal as ExxonMobil's. Its free cash flow was negative \$2 billion and the company paid \$2.4 billion in shareholder distributions, bringing its shortfall to \$4.4 billion.

Shell, which had slashed its dividend payment and reduced its buyback program, paid out \$1.63 billion to shareholders while recording negative free cash flow of \$873 million, a \$2.5 billion deficit.

#### Conclusion

For more than a decade, the five largest publicly traded oil and gas companies— ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, Total and BP—have paid more to their shareholders than they've generated from their operations. This trend exploded during the second quarter. Collectively, these oil majors paid out almost \$17 billion more in dividends and share buybacks than they generated from their free cash flows from operations. During the quarter, each of the companies' free cash flows from their core business was insufficient to cover their shareholder distributions.

The five companies' response to their decline in the oil and gas industry has diverged. A constant theme over the past decade, however, has been each company's willingness to reward their shareholders more generously than their free cash flows have generated. Now that Shell and BP have cut their dividends and suspended their share buybacks, this trend may be changing.

### **About IEEFA**

The Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis (IEEFA) examines issues related to energy markets, trends and policies. The Institute's mission is to accelerate the transition to a diverse, sustainable and profitable energy economy. www.ieefa.org

## **About the Authors**

#### **Kathy Hipple**

Kathy Hipple, a financial analyst at IEEFA, teaches the finance sequence at Bard's MBA in Sustainability and is the founding partner of Noosphere Marketing. Hipple has written extensively about sustainable, responsible and impact finance and investing. As Vice President at Merrill Lynch for 10 years, she placed fixed income securities with international institutional clients, and advised international life insurance companies and pension funds. She later founded Ambassador Media, a local search firm in New York City, and served as its CEO. She has served on several boards, including the national Local Search Association and Bennington County's Meals on Wheels. khipple@ieefa.org

#### **Clark Williams-Derry**

Energy Finance Analyst Clark Williams-Derry served as director of energy finance and research director for the Sightline Institute, a multi-issue sustainability think-tank based in Seattle for 18 years, where his research focused on U.S. and global energy markets. He was also a senior analyst for Environmental Working Group. cwilliamsderry@ieefa.org

#### **Tom Sanzillo**

Tom Sanzillo, director of finance for IEEFA, is the author of several studies on coal plants, rate impacts, credit analyses and public and private financial structures for the coal industry. He has testified as an expert witness, taught energy-industry finance training sessions, and is quoted frequently by the media. Sanzillo has 17 years of experience with the City and the State of New York in various senior financial and policy management positions. He is a former first deputy comptroller for the State of New York, where he oversaw the finances of 1,300 units of local government, the annual management of 44,000 government contracts, and where he had oversight of over \$200 billion in state and local municipal bond programs and a \$156 billion pension fund. tsanzillo@ieefa.org

This report is for information and educational purposes only. The Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis ("IEEFA") does not provide tax, legal, investment, financial product or accounting advice. This report is not intended to provide, and should not be relied on for, tax, legal, investment, financial product or accounting advice. Nothing in this report is intended as investment or financial product advice, as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell, or as a recommendation, opinion, endorsement, or sponsorship of any financial product, class of financial products, security, company, or fund. IEEFA is not responsible for any investment or other decision made by you. You are responsible for your own investment research and investment decisions. This report is not meant as a general guide to investing, nor as a source of any specific or general recommendation or opinion in relation to any financial products. Unless attributed to others, any opinions expressed are our current opinions only. Certain information presented may have been provided by third-parties. IEEFA believes that such third-party information is reliable, and has checked public records to verify it where possible, but does not guarantee its accuracy, timeliness or completeness; and it is subject to change without notice.