Belgian LNG Terminal Zeebrugge
Supporting Year-round Russian LNG Supplies to Non-European Markets

Fluxys Shareholders Guarantee Profits From
20-year Yamal LNG Contract for Dedicated Storage in Belgium

Introduction

Fluxys, Belgium’s regulated monopoly gas grid operator, has been tapping the Russian liquefied natural gas (LNG) export market to deliver dividend growth for its shareholders after a decade of flat gas demand in Belgium. In recent years, the company’s revenue growth has been driven by increased activity at the LNG Terminal in the port of Zeebrugge, which struggled with a utilisation rate of only 11% in 2016.

Zeebrugge LNG was initially conceived as a way to ensure a secure supply of gas for Belgium, and the terminal kept this basic role until its initial supply contract expired in 2007. Now however, the terminal functions as a multi-shipper terminal, supplying LNG to destinations all around the world.

From 2007, Qatar was the main supplier of LNG to Belgium, but since 2018 there has been a significant increase in Russian LNG from the Yamal Peninsula in the Siberian Arctic reserves. In 2019, an entire storage tank was built in Zeebrugge, expanding the terminal’s LNG storage capacity by almost one-half, purely to serve a 20-year trans-shipment contract with Yamal LNG. The aim is to transship LNG transported by ice-breaker LNG carriers from the new production terminal in Sabetta, Yamal, to conventional LNG carriers.

Since then, Fluxys and its shareholders have profited by facilitating Russian exports of LNG to Asian, South American and Middle East markets, especially during the winter months—exacerbating the European energy crisis and adding to the profit margins of Russian fossil fuel interests.
The majority of transshipment trades at the Zeebrugge LNG terminal have been spot cargoes, being charged at possibly high spot market prices rather than contract prices.

In 2021, 89% of Yamal LNG cargoes that transshipped at Zeebrugge wound up in countries in Asia, the Middle East or South America; in 2020, the figure was only 59%.

Between January and February 2022, five of eight cargoes exporting Yamal LNG transshipped at Zeebrugge; seven of the eight were sent to buyers in China, Taiwan, South Korea and Japan.

Table 1: Transshipment Trades at Zeebrugge LNG Terminal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Trades</th>
<th>Spot Cargo</th>
<th>% Total Trades</th>
<th>Unloaded MTPA</th>
<th>Total Yamal LNG Exports, MTPA</th>
<th>% Yamal LNG Exports Transshipped at Zeebrugge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Trades</th>
<th>Spot Cargo</th>
<th>% Total Trades</th>
<th>Unloaded MTPA</th>
<th>Yamal LNG Exports to Asia and Other, MTPA</th>
<th>% Yamal LNG Exports Transshipped at Zeebrugge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IHSMarkit. Transshipment analysis. March 2022. (Proprietary)

About 10% of total Russian LNG exports use transshipment services at Zeebrugge; between 10% and 16% of trades to Asian, Middle Eastern and South American countries have used transshipment at Zeebrugge.

Considering the total LNG exports from Yamal, almost one-third of 2020 trades were transshipped at Zeebrugge. Between 35% and 38% of trades to Asian, Middle Eastern and South American countries used transshipment at Zeebrugge in 2020, 2021 and the first months of 2022.
Table 2: Percentage of Russian LNG Exports Transshipping at Zeebrugge LNG Terminal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Russian LNG Exports MTPA</th>
<th>Yamal LNG Exports MTPA</th>
<th>Transshipments at Zeebrugge MTPA</th>
<th>% of Russian LNG Transshipped at Zeebrugge</th>
<th>% of Yamal LNG Transshipped at Zeebrugge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>19.09</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>29.31</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>29.78</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-22</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LNG Exports to Asia, Middle East or South America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Russian LNG Exports MTPA</th>
<th>Yamal LNG Exports MTPA</th>
<th>Transshipments at Zeebrugge MTPA</th>
<th>% of Russian LNG Transshipped at Zeebrugge</th>
<th>% of Yamal LNG Transshipped at Zeebrugge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-22</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


1. Zeebrugge LNG Terminal

Zeebrugge is an LNG regasification terminal located along the northern part of the Belgian coastline that is built on a man-made island. It serves as a crossroads of two major axes in European natural gas flows: the east/west axis from Russia to the United Kingdom and the north/south axis from Norway to Southern Europe. It serves the small-scale LNG market, providing an alternative fuel for smaller vessels and trucks, or for industrial customers not connected to the gas pipeline system.1

Fluxys LNG is the owner and operator of the Zeebrugge LNG terminal and sells terminalling capacity and associated services. Fluxys LNG SA is a company established under Belgian law and is a fully owned subsidiary of Fluxys Belgium SA.

---

Zeebrugge LNG terminal has been in operation since 1987 and has a regulated Third Party Access (TPA) Regime.

**Main operating capacity:**¹
- LNG carriers up to 260,000 cubic meters of LNG
- 380,000 cubic meters of storage + a 180,000-cubic meter fifth tank
- Regasification: 544 GWh/day
- Two jetties, two truck loading bays
- Capacity to import 6.7 million tonnes of LNG per year (mtpa, also equivalent to 9 billion cubic meters per year, or bcm/y)
- Transshipment capacity: 107 transshipments (214 ships) per year

**Zeebrugge provides the following terminalling services:**²
- Reloading and/or unloading any type of LNG ship
- Proceeding to transshipments between LNG ships (STS: ship-to-ship)
- Loading LNG trucks
- Regasifying LNG so it can be injected into the transmission network
- Loading of bunkering ships (use of imported LNG at the receiving terminal to serve as marine or “bunker” fuel)
- Bundled services: ship unloading + LNG storage + ship reloading³
- A new virtual liquefaction service, which allows producers to bring LNG into the Zeebrugge Terminal without needing a ship

² Fluxys. *LNG in Belgium*.

The first LNG imports to the terminal came from Algeria in the 1980s. Since 2007, Qatar has been the main supplier of LNG to Belgium. Since 2018, however, there has also been an increase in Russian LNG.

In 1987, a long-term contract was signed with Algerian supplier Sonatrach to deliver up to 3.3 million metric tonnes per annum (MMtpa) over a 20-year period in the form of LNG. Since this expired in 2007, 20-year-long contracts were signed
with: Distrigaz, 2.75 billion cubic metres per annum (bcm); Qatar Petroleum/Qatar Terminal, 4.5bcm; and Tractebel, 1.8 bcm.\(^2\)

In 2018 Zeebrugge LNG terminal performed its first direct ship-to-ship transfer of LNG. The cargo was transferred from the 172,000-cubic-meter *Eduard Toll*, a Yamal LNG project vessel, to the 170,000-cubic-meter *Pskov*, a vessel controlled by Gazprom.\(^3\)

In September 2019, a new long-term contract was entered into with Qatar Petroleum, a subsidiary of Qatar Terminal Limited (QTL), for the remaining unloading slots until 2044, after the expiry of the current long-term slots (partly in 2023, the majority in 2027).

In December 2019, Zeebrugge LNG commissioned its fifth storage tank under a 20-year agreement with Yamal LNG, Russia.\(^4\) The contract allowed for as much as 8 MMtpa (around 11 bcm, equivalent to approximately 65% of Belgium’s total gas demand of 17 bcm)\(^5\) of LNG transhipments and as many as 107 annual transshipments to support year-round LNG deliveries from Yamal to Asian markets.\(^6\) The contract was signed in March 2015.\(^7\)

Yamal LNG started using a dedicated, 180,000-cubic-meter LNG transshipment tank at the Zeebrugge LNG terminal in Belgium that was built specifically for it. The additional LNG storage tank serves as a buffer for the transshipment of LNG between two vessels that are not berthed at the same time.

---


2. Yamal LNG

The Yamal LNG project (17.4 MMtpa) is Novatek’s first liquefaction project and the second large-scale project in Russia.

The Yamal LNG joint venture includes Novatek (50.1%), TotalEnergies (formerly known as TOTAL; 20%), China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC, 20%) and Silk Road Fund (9.9%).

The project is estimated to produce about 16.5 million metric tons of LNG per year.

In September 2013, Novatek concluded a final agreement with CNPC to take a 20% stake in the project. The deal also included Yamal LNG's first offtake contract. CNPC committed to purchase 3 MMtpa for 20 years. In November 2013, Naturgy (then Gas Natural Fenosa) agreed to offtake 2.5 MMtpa for 24 years.

By the end of 2015, Yamal LNG had secured buyers for 14.8 MMtpa, or 90% of the production from Yamal LNG’s first three trains. Long-term offtakers included TotalEnergies (4 MMtpa), CNPC (3 MMtpa), Naturgy (2.5 MMtpa), Gazprom (2.9 MMtpa) and Novatek (2.4 MMtpa). The remaining 2.6 MMtpa of capacity was marketed by Yamal Trade (the 0.9 MMtpa added by the fourth train would be marketed by Yamal Trade, as well).

---

8 TotalEnergies. Yamal LNG: the gas that came in from the cold.
There are substantial additional spot market volumes, which are assigned to the project partners on an equity basis.

The first train (production line) was started in December 2017. In April 2018, Yamal LNG started shipping under long-term contracts with Total, CNPC, Gazprom Marketing & Trading, Spanish Gas Natural Fenosa, and Novatek Gas & Power.

Cargoes from Yamal LNG have been reloaded, transshipped, and unloaded at terminals in northwestern Europe, including GATE in the Netherlands, Montoir and Dunkirk in France, and Grain in the UK, as well as near the port of Honningsvåg in Norway and Kildin in Russia.

The first STS transshipment at Zeebrugge, which has a long-term deal with Yamal LNG for such operations, occurred in April 2018. Transshipments at Zeebrugge have ramped up since the beginning of 2020 after its fifth storage tank came online.

The startup of Yamal LNG has introduced Russian LNG into Europe. Throughout 2018, some volumes stayed in northern European markets, despite Yamal LNG’s original plan to not compete with Gazprom’s pipeline supplies.

3. Fluxys Belgium Revenues

Fluxys Belgium obtains approximately 97% of its operating income from the sale of capacity and related services in its infrastructure for the transmission and storage of natural gas, as well as LNG terminalling. Its operations are monitored by the Belgian Federal Commission for Electricity and Gas Regulation (CREG).

Over the last three years, there has been a significant increase in revenues from terminalling activities.

- In 2018: Turnover from terminalling services generated an increase in regulated revenue (€4.3 million), reflecting the progression in the number of large tanker loadings and transshipment operations. Terminalling activities at Zeebrugge accounted for 20% of Fluxys Belgium operating revenues.

- In 2019: Terminalling services generated a €32.1 million increase in regulated revenue. The increase primarily reflected the regulated authorised return on expansion investments in accordance with the tariff proposal of June 2019 and the expansion investments for transshipment services at the Zeebrugge terminal. Terminalling activities at Zeebrugge accounted for 25% of Fluxys Belgium operating revenues.

- In 2020: The bulk of the increase in sales and regulated services related to terminalling activities (€16 million) can primarily be explained by the commissioning at the end of 2019 of the fifth tank at the Zeebrugge LNG Terminal. The new tank helped create a strong increase in STS transshipment services. Terminalling activities at Zeebrugge accounted for 26% of Fluxys Belgium operating revenues.
Table 4: Fluxys Belgium Operating Revenue (millions €)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission</td>
<td>397.0</td>
<td>372.3</td>
<td>379.8</td>
<td>367.5</td>
<td>360.4</td>
<td>369.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalising</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>148.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which Terminalising Belgium (Zeebrugge)</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>147.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination between segments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(18.9)</td>
<td>(20.1)</td>
<td>(18.4)</td>
<td>(17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td>538.0</td>
<td>509.5</td>
<td>510.5</td>
<td>503.2</td>
<td>531.0</td>
<td>560.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terminalising Belgium (Zeebrugge) % of total revenue</strong></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual Change in Revenue (millions €)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Change in Revenue (millions €)</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalising</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which Terminalising Belgium (Zeebrugge)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination between segments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(19)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fluxys Belgium Annual Reports.

Fluxys Belgium invested €91.3 million in infrastructure projects in 2019. Almost 80% was spent on LNG infrastructure projects, mainly on the construction of a fifth tank at the Zeebrugge LNG terminal serving the 20-year transshipment contract with Yamal LNG. The rest was spent on transmission projects and storage infrastructure (see Table 5).

Table 5: Fluxys Belgium Investment in Infrastructure Projects (millions €)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission projects</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage infrastructure</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG infrastructure projects</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>188.0</td>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fluxys Belgium Annual Reports.

**Dividend Growth**

Fluxys’ transshipment contract with Yamal LNG allowed the company to increase its dividend per share for shareholders in 2020, from €1.30 to €1.37 per share, the highest level since 2012. The contract was so significant that it was highlighted on the company’s website:

“Fluxys Belgium SA/NV’s net profits totalled €70.8 million, compared with €42.5 million in 2019. This increase compared to the previous financial year is...”
due in part to the commissioning of the fifth storage tank at the LNG terminal operated by subsidiary Fluxys LNG.\textsuperscript{10}

**Regulated Activities**

Gas transport and storage, as well as terminalling activities, are regulated with tariffs within the European Union. Under the main principle of regulation, revenue must be sufficient to cover the eligible costs and allow shareholders to obtain a “fair” return. Revenue must be fixed, taking into account operational expenses; authorized depreciation; cost of debt; and fair margin for shareholders.\textsuperscript{11}

Regulation is applied to terminalling activities in the same way as transmission and storage activities. However, some investments may be remunerated via an IRR (Internal Rate of Return) model, as is the case in Belgium.

Within the Fluxys group, there are several entities that are regulated: Fluxys Belgium, Fluxys LNG, Fluxys Deutschland, Fluxys TENP and DESFA.

**4. Main Activities at Zeebrugge**

In 2020, activities in Zeebrugge LNG terminal included a record total of 162 vessel operations and 3,195 trucks loaded. The increase in vessel operations was mainly due to 111 transshipments where LNG was either transferred ship-to-ship or stored in an LNG tank before reloading on another ship. In these operations, as in truck loading, LNG was not regasified or injected into the gas network in Belgium.

![Zeebrugge LNG Terminal Operations](image)

*Source: IHS Markit. Zeebrugge analysis. (Proprietary)*

Neither gas consumption in Belgium nor transit gas volumes have been growing lately. Gas demand in Belgium hasn’t grown for the last 10 years. Demand peaked at 20 bcm in 2010, and has averaged 17 bcm for the last decade. Gas transit volumes

\textsuperscript{10} Fluxys. Financial Information.

\textsuperscript{11} Fluxys, *op. cit.*
through Belgium have averaged 23 bcm since 2006 and have been declining since 2017.

**Figure 3: Belgium’s Total Gas Imports (BCM)**

The actual capacity at Zeebrugge LNG terminal is 9 bcm and its utilisation rate was around 55% in 2020 and 2021. The utilisation rate hit its lowest level in 2016 at 11% before rebounding to 76% in 2019.

**Figure 4: Zeebrugge LNG Terminal Utilisation Rate**

The following figure shows the volumes of gross LNG imports at Zeebrugge that consist of net LNG imports, which are then regasified and sent to the gas network in
Belgium. Re-exports represent the LNG that is transferred from the storage tank in the terminal back to a ship and then transported by tanker to another terminal (ship-storage-ship transshipment). In 2020, re-exports reached 1.4 bcm but were still lower than the 1.9 bcm recorded in 2012.

**Figure 5: Zeebrugge LNG Terminal Gross Imports (BCM)**

![Figure 5: Zeebrugge LNG Terminal Gross Imports (BCM)](image)

*Source: IHS Markit. Zeebrugge analysis. (Proprietary)*

The 2020 increase was caused by the Yamal LNG transshipment contract at Zeebrugge, but it is not known how much re-exports will increase in the following years as Russia develops its own transhipment terminals. During the 2020-21 winter, Novatek-Western Arctic, a wholly owned Novatek subsidiary, conducted eight STS transhipments near Kildin, small Russian island in the Barents Sea. However, Fluxys will profit regardless, since its contract with Yamal LNG stipulates payment even if Yamal does not use the service.

**Conclusion**

The Zeebrugge LNG terminal was initially designed to secure gas for Belgium. The terminal kept its basic role until the initial supply contract expired in 2007. Since then, the terminal has developed into a multi-shipper facility, using contracts with flexible destination clauses. In 2019, Zeebrugge expanded its LNG transshipment storage by almost half, purely to service a 20-year contract with Yamal LNG in Russia.

During the winter months, vessels shuttle from Sabetta in Yamal to Zeebrugge, where Russian LNG is temporarily stored before being transported to Asian, Middle Eastern and South American markets. Fluxys is arguably working against Europe’s security of supply, enabling Russian LNG to be shipped to other

---

markets at high prices in winter, even when stocks in Europe may be running low or require refilling. The majority of transhipments are priced by the “spot” market and so are much more expensive during times of low supply, further increasing profits to the suppliers of Russian LNG.

Allowing transshipment services at LNG terminals in Europe has facilitated Russian LNG exports to global markets. Since 2021, around 89% of the total Yamal LNG exports that have transshipped at Zeebrugge LNG terminal have been destined for non-European markets.

Regulated European gas grid operators, such as Fluxys in Belgium, are profiting from this trade, arguably to the detriment of European energy consumers.
About IEEFA

The Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis (IEEFA) examines issues related to energy markets, trends and policies. The Institute’s mission is to accelerate the transition to a diverse, sustainable and profitable energy economy. [www.ieefa.org](http://www.ieefa.org)

About the Authors

Ana Maria Jaller-Makarewicz
Energy Consultant and Training Facilitator with BSc and MSc in Electrical Engineering. Ana worked in Colombia at Electric Utilities, Gas Distribution Company and Universidad de Norte. In UK she has worked as an Energy Consultant analysing the global natural gas market and industry. She advised electricity regulators in BiH and Ministry of Power in Nigeria and worked as an individual contractor for UNFCCC. She has delivered Energy Training programmes in Africa, Asia, Middle East, Latin America and Europe. [ajallermakarewicz@ieefa.org](mailto:ajallermakarewicz@ieefa.org)

Arjun Flora
Arjun Flora is an energy finance analyst at IEEFA, with a particular focus on the new energy technology sector. He previously spent six years working on M&A and financing transactions at Alexa Capital and Jefferies in London. He has also worked in transaction advisory and engineering at Arup and holds a M.Eng. from the University of Cambridge. [aflora@ieefa.org](mailto:aflora@ieefa.org)

---

This report is for information and educational purposes only. The Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis (“IEEFA”) does not provide tax, legal, investment, financial product or accounting advice. This report is not intended to provide, and should not be relied on for, tax, legal, investment, financial product or accounting advice. Nothing in this report is intended as investment or financial product advice, as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell, or as a recommendation, opinion, endorsement, or sponsorship of any financial product, class of financial products, security, company, or fund. IEEFA is not responsible for any investment or other decision made by you. You are responsible for your own investment research and investment decisions. This report is not meant as a general guide to investing, nor as a source of any specific or general recommendation or opinion in relation to any financial products. Unless attributed to others, any opinions expressed are our current opinions only. Certain information presented may have been provided by third-parties. IEEFA believes that such third-party information is reliable, and has checked public records to verify it where possible, but does not guarantee its accuracy, timeliness or completeness; and it is subject to change without notice.