## **Company methane risk exposure table**

	APA	<b>w</b> oodside	Santos	BHP	Whitehaven
Overall Methane Risk Exposure	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High	High
Reporting risks					
Methane Reporting risk	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High
Accuracy risk					
Does not use production-based emission factors	×	×	×	×	×
Subject to independent verification	<b>√</b>	×	×	×	×
Transparency risk					
Reports Scope 1 emissions by gas	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
Reports Scope 1 emissions by facility	×	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Reports Method used to estimate methane emissions	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Reports Scope 3 Emissions	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
Planned improvements risk					
Steps to improve methane emissions measurement	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	*	×
Independent verification	<b>V</b>	×	×	×	<b>√</b>
Mandatory climate-related reporting  Methane emissions targets risks	· ·	•	•	•	•
Overall	Low	High	High	High	High
GHG reduction target (Scope 1 & 2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>
GHG reduction target (Scope 3)	In development	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
GHG target is aligned with the IEA's 1.5°C pathway (75% methane abatement)	×	×	×	×	×
GHG target is aligned with the IEA's 2.0°C pathway	×	×	×	<b>√</b>	Unclear
Methane reduction target (Scope 1)	<b>✓</b>	×	×	×	×
Oil and Gas Methane Partnership 2.0 + public					
commitment to the 'gold standard'	×	<b>√</b>	×	NA	NA
Methane Guiding Principles / Aim for Zero Methane Emissions initiative	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	NA	NA
Expansion Plans Risks					
Overall	High	High	High	High	High
Are methane emissions likely to fall under company growth plans?	×	×	×	×	×
planned decommissioning/closure greater than planned expansions?	×	×	×	×	×
Greenfield projects proposed or under development	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Brownfield projects proposed or under development	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Abatement action risks					
Actions taken	Moderate	Moderate N/A (due to BHP	Moderate	High	High
Methane emissions have reduced relative to base year	×	merger)	×	<b>√-</b> 3%	<b>√</b> -50%
SM Baselines have increased	×	×	×	2	$\checkmark$
Reporting method changed	×	×	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Purchased carbon offsets	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b> (?)	×
Reduced production	×	×	×	×	$\checkmark$
Abatement equipment or new practices	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	✓Underground × Open-cut	*Undergroun *Open cut
Capital Allocation				×	<b>×</b>
Capital allocated for GHG reductions	Uncloar	Uncloar	Unalaar	×	Unclear <b>X</b>
Capital allocated specific to methane reductions  Stated future action	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	^	^
Reducing production	×	×	×	×	×
Abatement equipment or new practices	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓Underground <b>×</b> Open-cut	✓Undergroun  × Open-cut
Conducting feasibility studies	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	<b>√</b>
Purchasing carbon offsets	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Opportunity Cost risks					
Overall	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
			vaoiato		doidto

More information on these risk rating calculations and weighting are outlined in a data file, available on request.